1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	ADISHIAN LAW GROUP, P.C.Christopher M. Adishian, Esq. [#172511]Pacific Corporate Towers222 N. Sepulveda Blvd., Ste. 2000El Segundo, California 90245Phone:(310) 726-0888Fax:(866) 350-0888Attorneys for Plaintiff Curtis Monk, Jr.SUPERIOR COURT OF THE	COPPY CHOUSED FILED SAN FRANCISCO COUNTY SUPERIOR COURT 2014 SEP 26 AM II: 49 CLEAK OF THE COURT BY: DEPUTY CLEAK STATE OF CALLEODNIA
9	COUNTY OF SAN	FRANCISCO
10	CURTIS MONK, JR.,	Case No.: CGC 14-541857
11	Plaintiff,	Honorable
12	V.	Department COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES:
13	TEACHERS INSURANCE AND ANNUITY ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA (TIAA-CREF):	1. Wrongful Termination in
14 15	ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA (TIAA-CREF); TIAA-CREF TRUST COMPANY, FSB; and DOES 1-50, Inclusive,	Violation of Public Policy 2. Age Discrimination
16	Defendants.	[GOVERNMENT CODE §12940(a)] 3. Race Discrimination
17		[GOVERNMENT CODE §12940(a)] 4. Failure to Prevent Discrimination
18		[GOVERNMENT CODE §12940(k)] 5. Retaliation (FEHA)
19		[GOVERNMENT CODE §12940(h)] 6. Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress
20		7. Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress
21		Complaint Filed:
22	·	Trial Date:
23		
24		
25		
26		BY FAX
27		પ્રેસ્ક્સ્ટ્રાઈ કે દી તે' ક્રેપ્સી 'કે.
28		
	Page 1 COMPLAINT FOR	
	COMPLAINT FOR	DAMAGEO

ADISHIAN LAW GROUP, P.C.

¢

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

COMES NOW Plaintiff CURTIS MONK, JR. and alleges the following, upon information and belief.

PARTIES

 Defendant TEACHERS INSURANCE AND ANNUITY ASSOCIATION OF AMERICA ("TIAA-CREF") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a transnational business organization of unknown form doing business within the State of California with a principal place of business located at 560 Mission Street, San Francisco, California 94105.
 Defendant TIAA-CREF TRUST COMPANY, FSB ("Trust Company") ("Trust Company" with "TIAA-CREF", collectively referred to as "TIAA-CREF Entities") is, and at all times herein mentioned was, a Federal Savings Bank incorporated in the State of Texas, operating as a subsidiary, fund and/or companion company of TIAA-CREF, and jointly employed Plaintiff at its place of business located at 560 Mission Street, San Francisco, California 94105.

3. Defendants TIAA-CREF ENTITIES employ more than 5 persons and collectively 14 15 are an employer as defined in the California Fair Employment and Housing Act ("FEHA"). 4. Plaintiff is informed and believes and thereon alleges that, at various times herein 16 mentioned, each of the defendants was the agent, either direct, ostensible or otherwise, 17 18 servant, representative of employee of each of the remaining defendants and, in engaging in 19 certain acts hereinafter alleged, was acting within the course and scope of said agency, 20 service, representation, or employment and materially assisted the other defendants. 21 Plaintiff is further informed and believes and thereon alleges that each of the defendants 22 ratified the acts of the remaining defendants.

5. Plaintiff is ignorant of the true names and capacities, whether individual, corporate,
associate or otherwise, of defendants sued herein as Does 1 through 50, inclusive, and
therefore sues said defendants by such fictitious names. Plaintiff is informed and believes
and, upon such information and belief, alleges that each of the defendants designated as a
Doe is legally responsible in some manner for the events and happenings referred to herein
and caused the damages proximately thereby to Plaintiff as hereinafter alleged. Plaintiff

will seek leave of court to amend this complaint to show the true names and capacities of 1 2 said Doe defendants when same have been ascertained. STATEMENT OF FACTS 3 On or about June 29, 1992, Plaintiff Curtis Monk ("Curtis") was hired by TIAA-4 6. 5 CREF as Financial Consultant in its Boston office. Less than two years later and based on exemplary performance, Curtis was promoted to Senior Financial Consultant. In 1998, 6 7 Curtis was promoted to Director, Financial Consultant, with each of his promotions 8 accompanied by a salary increase based on performance. 9 7. In or about January, 1999, Curtis was promoted to Senior Trust Services Consultant 10 and transferred to the TIAA-CREF ENTITIES' San Francisco office, with primary responsibility for investment product sales and trustee services. 11 12 8. On or around May 3, 1999, TIAA-CREF formed the Trust Company. 13 9. Solely for its own business reasons, having nothing to do with actual responsibilities, duties or knowledge, the TIAA-CREF ENTITIES unilaterally designated 14 Mr. Monk as an "Officer" of the Trust Company. 15 The TIAA-CREF ENTITIES' designation of Mr. Monk, and others, as "Officers" 10. 16 was a pure sham as Mr. Monk had no duties, responsibilities or operational oversight of the 17 18 Trust Company. 19 11. Mr. Monk continued to excel in his role. 2012. In or about January 2004, in recognition of his superior skills and exemplary 21 performance, Curtis was promoted to Director, Investment Product Sales. 22 13. Between 1999 and 2012, Curtis consistently met or exceeded the goals set for him 23 by TIAA-CREF ENTITIES, receiving performance-driven annual cash awards of \$50,000 24 (2009, \$35,000 (2010) \$55,000 (2011) and \$65,000 (2012). 25 14. In or about 2009-2010, TIAA-CREF ENTITIES hired various former Bank of America employees who were promoted to positions of authority in TIAA-CREF 26 27 ENTITIES's corporate hierarchy, including Vice President of Wealth Management, Kathy 28 Andrade and Managing Director, Head of Product Distribution, Kevin O'Leary. The influx Page 3

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

ADISHIAN LAW GROUP, P.C.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

of Bank of America hires created a culture, as substantiated in 2010 and 2011 Culture
Surveys, that devalued long-term employees by restricting their ability to transfer within
the company and, in some cases, forcing them out of TIAA-CREF ENTITIES altogether.
15. In 2009, both Andrade and O'Leary became Curtis' supervisors when he was on the
cusp of turning 60 years old.

16. On or about December 31, 2010, Curtis lodged a written complaint with Defendants' Human Resources department, wherein he articulated his concerns that O'Leary was attempting to extricate Curtis from TIAA-CREF ENTITIES based on a 2010 year-end unjustified performance review which indicated Curtis' performance "needs improvement", irrespective of the fact that Curtis exceeded his 2010 yearly goals in Portfolio Advisor sales by 300% and in Private Asset Management sales by 200%.

17. TIAA-CREF ENTITIES's HR department abdicated its responsibility to handle
Curtis' complaint and rather handed it off to another Vice President of the Wealth
Management Group, Jane Magpiong, who coincidentally had hired both Andrade and
O'Leary as well as other former Bank of America employees.

18. In 2011, Curtis' sales performance exceeded his annual sales goals by more than 16 200% with aggregate sales exceeding \$360 million, yet, he received another "needs 17 18 improvement" year-end performance review by O'Leary and another former Bank of 19 America employee who had been appointed Curtis' manager mid-year, Lance Hallam. 20 19. On or about January 18, 2012, as a result of the second year-end negative review 21 unsupported by Curtis' sales figures and performance goals, Curtis e-mailed his concerns to 22 both the Vice-President of Human Resources and company President and CEO, Roger 23 Ferguson, substantiating his complaints with documented sales figures.

24 20. In 2012, Curtis exceeded his sales goals by 20% which was finally recognized by a
25 favorable year-end performance evaluation by Hallam, rendering the two prior year-end
26 evaluations suspect as Curtis had exceeded his sales goals by a greater margin than had
27 occurred in 2012 when he received a higher performance rating.

28 21. On or about May 1, 2013, Curtis inexplicably received a written warning from Page 4

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

24

Hallam based on his alleged poor year-to-date sales results. Irrespective of Curtis' explanation that his 2013 sales figures followed the same pattern as in 2012, when he experienced a slower pace in the first half of the year, followed by stronger sales the second half resulting in meeting yearly sales goals, Hallam refused to withdraw the written warning.

22. Curtis refused to sign the written warning and on or about May 6, 2013, e-mailed President and CEO Ferguson and the TIAA-CREF ENTITIES' Human Resources department questioning why another Wealth Management director who was Caucasian and female had not been given a similar written warning when her year-to-date sales figures were lower than Curtis' figures.

23. On or about May 8, 2013, President and CEO Ferguson responded that Curtis' complaints would be investigated, but no investigation was undertaken either by the President's office or by the HR department. However, Curtis was advised by a HR representative that Hallam could potentially require anger management training to control his temper and frustration exhibited toward Curtis, but to Plaintiff's knowledge, no such training ever occurred.

24. On or about June 28, 2013, according to public records, the Trust Company, through 17 18 its acting Board of Directors, entered into a "Consent Order" with the Comptroller of the 19 Currency of the United States of America granting the Comptroller of the Currency 20 "supervisory authority over TIAA-CREF Trust Company, FSB, St. Louis, Missouri." 25. On or about August 4, 2013, Curtis traveled to Charlotte, North Carolina, for two 21 days of sales training for the national sales team. At the conclusion of the meeting on 22 August 6th, Curtis met with Hallam and HR representative, Josetta Berardi, who terminated 23

25 26. When questioned as to the specific "performance deficiencies" on which Curtis was
26 fired, Berardi disavowed reviewing Curtis' sales results, but rather relied upon
27 "conversations" with O'Leary and Hallam as grounds for finding "performance
28 deficiencies".

Curtis for alleged "performance deficiencies".

Page 5

27. Curtis' termination could not have been based on "performance deficiencies" for the following reasons:

2 Curtis' year-to-date sales figures as of September 2013 were 89-90% of his yearly 3 goals which was the same dynamic as had been demonstrated in prior years when 4 5 Curtis had always met his sales goals at year-end; Curtis had successful interaction with Wealth Management Advisors in his region 6 7 effectuating their sales goals and providing necessary support to his team; 8 Curtis' performance was at such a high level that he was called upon by Wealth 9 Management Advisor Directors to host/conduct "Strategy Calls" for their respective 10 advisor teams relating to sales opportunities of \$1 million or more; TIAA-CREF ENTITIES's management requested Curtis to develop, coordinate and 11 12 present high net worth client education seminars based on his expertise and command of the subject matter concerning investment allocation, income 13 management, tax efficiency, health care and estate planning issues; 14 15 Curtis presented seminars on Tax-Efficient Fixed Income Planning and Understanding the Fiscal Cliff which led to new business sales opportunities in 2011 16 and 2012. 17 18 28. Curtis had **21 years of loyal and exemplary service** to TIAA-CREF ENTITIES 19 when terminated in August of 2013 for unjustified and legally unsupportable reasons which 20had nothing to do with his performance. 29. 21 On or about August 4, 2014, Plaintiff filed a complaint with the Department of Fair 22 Employment and Housing against Defendants Teachers Insurance and Annuity Association 23 of America and TIAA-CREF Trust Company, FSB. 24 30. On or about August 4, 2014, Plaintiff received a Right to Sue letter from DFEH as to Defendants, a true and correct copy of which is attached hereto as Exhibit "1" and 25 26 incorporated herein by reference. 27 111 28 111 Page 6

1

1	FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION		
2	(For Wrongful Termination in Violation of Public Policy Against All Defendants)		
3	31. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference all of the allegations set		
4	forth in paragraphs 1 through 31 as though fully set forth herein.		
5	32. On May 6, 2013, Plaintiff was a 62-year old male of African-American descent.		
6	33. At all times herein mentioned, there existed fundamental and established California		
7	public policies, as codified by case law and statute, including but not limited to California		
8	Government Code §12940 et seq. providing that an employer cannot terminate an employee		
9	on the basis of certain characteristics, including but not limited to race or age.		
10	34. On or about May 6, 2013, Defendants violated the aforesaid public policies by		
11	wrongfully terminating Plaintiff on the basis of his age and/or race.		
12	35. As a proximate result of Defendant's wrongful termination in violation of public		
13	policy of the State of California, Plaintiff has sustained and continues to sustain substantial		
14	loss in past, present and future earnings, career opportunities, bonuses and other		
15	employment benefits in amounts to be proven at trial. Plaintiff's damages include all		
16	consequential, general and special economic damages in amounts to be proven at trial.		
17	36. As a further proximate result of Defendant's wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has		
18	suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, severe emotional distress and mental and		
19	physical pain and anguish, all to his damage in a sum according to proof.		
20	37. The foregoing acts of Defendant were oppressive, malicious, and despicable, and		
21	Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to an award of punitive damages against Defendant in an		
22	amount to be proven at trial.		
23	SECOND CAUSE OF ACTION		
24	(For Age Discrimination Against all Defendants)		
25	38. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference all of the allegations set		
26	forth in paragraphs 1 through 38 as though fully set forth herein.		
27	39. This cause of action is brought pursuant to <i>Government Code</i> §12940(a) which		
28	prohibits discrimination against a person in terms, conditions or privileges of employment Page 7		
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES		

on the basis of age, and the corresponding regulations of the California Fair Employment and Housing Commission, or its successor.

40. At all times relevant herein, Defendants regularly employed five or more persons, bringing said Defendant employer within the provision of *California Government Code* \$12900 et seq., prohibiting employers or their agents from discriminating against employees on the basis of age.

41. Plaintiff is a member of a protected class within the meaning of the aforementioned *Government Code* sections. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff satisfactorily performed his duties and responsibilities as expected by Defendants and, in fact, exceeded those expectations by his performance and generation of profitability for employer TIAA-CREF ENTITIES.

42. Plaintiff alleges that his age was a factor in Defendants TIAA-CREF ENTITIES's wrongful actions toward him, including but not limited to retaliation, discrimination and termination.

43. As a proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has sustained
and continues to sustain substantial loss in past, present and future earnings, career
opportunities, bonuses and other employment benefits in amounts to be proven at trial.
Plaintiff's damages include all consequential, general and special economic damages in
amounts to be proven at trial.

44. As a further proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has
suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, severe emotional distress and mental and
physical pain and anguish, all to his damage in a sum according to proof.

45. The foregoing acts of Defendants were oppressive, malicious, and despicable, and
Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to an award of punitive damages against Defendants in an
amount to be proven at trial.

26 ///

- 27 ///
- 28 ///

Page 8

COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

1	THIRD CAUSE OF ACTION		
2	(For Race Discrimination		
3	Against All Defendants)		
4	46. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference all of the allegations set		
5	forth in paragraphs 1 through 46 as though fully set forth herein.		
6	47. This cause of action is brought pursuant to <i>Government Code</i> §12940(a) which		
7	prohibits discrimination against a person in terms, conditions or privileges of employment		
8	on the basis of race, and the corresponding regulations of the California Fair Employment		
9	and Housing Commission, or its successor.		
10	48. At all times relevant herein, Defendants regularly employed five or more persons,		
11	bringing said Defendant employer within the provision of California Government Code		
12	\$12900 et seq., prohibiting employers or their agents from discriminating against		
13	employees on the basis of race.		
14	49. Plaintiff is a member of a protected class within the meaning of the aforementioned		
15	Government Code sections. At all relevant times herein, Plaintiff satisfactorily performed		
16	his duties and responsibilities as expected by Defendants and, in fact, exceeded those		
17	expectations by his performance and generation of profitability for employer TIAA-CREF		
18	ENTITIES.		
19	50. Plaintiff alleges that his race was a factor in Defendants TIAA-CREF ENTITIES's		
20	wrongful actions toward him, including but not limited to retaliation, discrimination and		
21	termination.		
22	51. As a proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has sustained		
23	and continues to sustain substantial loss in past, present and future earnings, career		
24	opportunities, bonuses and other employment benefits in amounts to be proven at trial.		
25	Plaintiff's damages include all consequential, general and special economic damages in		
26	amounts to be proven at trial.		
27	52. As a further proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has		
28	suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, severe emotional distress and mental and Page 9		

physical pain and anguish, all to his damage in a sum according to proof. 1 53. 2 The foregoing acts of Defendants were oppressive, malicious, and despicable, and Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to an award of punitive damages against Defendants in an 3 amount to be proven at trial. 4 5 FOURTH CAUSE OF ACTION (For Failure to Prevent Discrimination 6 7 **Against All Defendants**) 8 54. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference all of the allegations set 9 forth in paragraphs 1 through 54 as though fully set forth herein. 55. 10 Defendants TIAA-CREF ENTITIES had a statutory duty, pursuant to the Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA) to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent 11 12 discrimination in the workplace pursuant to California Government Code §12940(k). Defendants TIAA-CREF ENTITIES breached their statutory duty of care to Plaintiff 13 56. 14 by failing to take all reasonable steps necessary to prevent the discrimination experienced 15 by Plaintiff as alleged herein. 16 57. As a proximate result of Defendants' discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has sustained and continues to sustain substantial loss in past, present and future earnings, career 17 18 opportunities, bonuses and other employment benefits in amounts to be proven at trial. 19 Plaintiff's damages include all consequential, general and special economic damages in 20 amounts to be proven at trial. 58. As a further proximate result of Defendant's discriminatory conduct, Plaintiff has 21 22 suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, severe emotional distress and mental and 23 physical pain and anguish, all to her damage in a sum according to proof. 59. 24 The foregoing acts of Defendant were oppressive, malicious, and despicable, and 25 Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to an award of punitive damages against Defendant in an amount to be proven at trial. 26 27 111 28 111 Page 10

1	FIFTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
2	(For Unlawful Retaliation in Employment		
3	Against All Defendants)		
4	60. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference all of the allegations set		
5	forth in paragraphs 1 through 60 as though fully set forth herein.		
6	61. California law prohibits retaliation in the workplace. The Fair Employment and		
7	Housing Act (FEHA) protects workers who oppose discriminatory and wrongful		
8	employment practices. Government Code §12940(h) makes it unlawful for "any person" to		
9	retaliate against an employee who opposes discrimination in the workplace.		
10	62. As alleged herein, Defendants are charged with retaliating against Plaintiff after		
11	Curtis complained to Defendants' President and CEO, as well as the company's Human		
12	Resources department, that he had received an unjustified and factually unsupported 2013		
13	mid-year review. Within a short period of time after Plaintiff's May 6 th emails to Roger		
14	Ferguson and Skip Spriggs, head of Human Resources, wherein he reported what he		
15	considered to be endemic discriminatory practices by the company, he was fired.		
16	63. Defendants fabricated a reason for Plaintiff's termination which is not supported by		
17	the facts as set forth herein and, in reality, fired Curtis because he had the temerity to repor-		
18	discriminatory hiring practices which were disingenuously concealed by Defendants by		
19	department mergers and consolidations which gave the false impression that Defendants'		
20	employee population was racially diverse.		
21	64. As a proximate result of Defendants' retaliatory conduct, Plaintiff has sustained and		
22	continues to sustain substantial loss in past, present and future earnings, career		
23	opportunities, bonuses and other employment benefits in amounts to be proven at trial.		
24	Plaintiff's damages include all consequential, general and special economic damages in		
25	amounts to be proven at trial.		
26	65. As a further proximate result of Defendants' retaliatory conduct, Plaintiff has		
27	suffered and continues to suffer humiliation, severe emotional distress and mental and		
28	physical pain and anguish, all to her damage in a sum according to proof. Page 11		
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES		

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

66. The foregoing acts of Defendants were oppressive, malicious, and despicable, and
 Plaintiff is, therefore, entitled to an award of punitive damages against Defendants in an
 amount to be proven at trial.

SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION

(For Intentional Infliction of Emotional Distress

Against All Defendants)

67. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference all of the allegations set forth in paragraphs 1 through 67 as though fully set forth herein.

68. The actions of Defendants in causing Plaintiff's employment to be terminated in contravention of public policy as described herein were intentional, extreme, outrageous and were done with the intent to cause emotional distress or with reckless disregard of the probability of causing Plaintiff emotional distress.

69. Defendants knew, or should have known, of Plaintiff's susceptibility to emotional
distress based on the outrageous conduct as described herein which surrounded and led to
the unjustified, abrupt and fabricated termination of Plaintiff's employment which was, in
reality, based solely on pretextual reasons which had no relationship in reality to Plaintiff's
job performance.

70. As a proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and
will continue to suffer severe and serious emotional and physical distress, all to Plaintiff's
damage in an amount to be proven at trial.

71. As a further proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has
sustained and continues to sustain substantial loss in past, present and future earnings,
career opportunities, bonuses and other employment benefits, all to Plaintiff's damage in an
amount to be proven at trial.

72. The conduct of Defendants in terminating Plaintiff's employment without good, just
or legitimate cause and in violation of California public policy was done in conscious
disregard of the rights of Plaintiff. As a consequent of the aforesaid oppressive, malicious
and despicable conduct, Plaintiff is entitled to an award of exemplary and punitive damages
Page 12

1	in an amount to be proven at trial.		
2	SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION		
3	(For Negligent Infliction of Emotional Distress		
4	Against All Defendants)		
5	73. Plaintiff realleges and incorporates herein by reference all of the allegations set		
6	forth in paragraphs 1 through 73 as though fully set forth herein.		
7	74. Defendants engaged in negligent conduct by terminating Plaintiff in contravention		
8	of public policy as described herein with reckless disregard of the probability of causing		
9	Plaintiff emotional distress.		
10	75. Defendants knew, or should have known, of Plaintiff's susceptibility to emotional		
11	distress based on the negligent conduct as described herein which surrounded and led to the		
12	unjustified, abrupt and fabricated termination of Plaintiff's employment which was, in		
13	reality, based solely on pique.		
14	76. As a proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has suffered and		
15	will continue to suffer severe and serious emotional and physical distress, all to Plaintiff's		
16	damage in an amount to be proven at trial.		
17	77. As a further proximate result of Defendants' wrongful conduct, Plaintiff has		
18	sustained and continues to sustain substantial loss in past, present and future earnings,		
19	career opportunities, bonuses and other employment benefits, all to Plaintiff's damage in an		
20	amount to be proven at trial.		
21	DEMAND FOR TRIAL BY JURY		
22	Plaintiff hereby demands a trial by jury.		
23	PRAYER FOR RELIEF		
24	WHEREFORE, Plaintiff CURTIS MONK, JR. prays for judgment as follows:		
25	FOR THE FIRST THROUGH FIFTH CAUSES OF ACTION:		
26	1. Compensatory damages, including loss of wages (front and back pay), career		
27	opportunities, benefits and other opportunities of employment;		
28	2. Special damages including loss of income and benefits and medical expenses; Page 13		
	COMPLAINT FOR DAMAGES		

1	3. Interest, including pre-judgment interest, thereon at the legal rate, including but	
2	not limited to Civil Code §3291;	
3	4. Attorney's fees according to proof, pursuant to Government Code §12965, or	
4	other applicable statutes or contracts;	
5	5. Punitive damages in a sum to be proven at trial;	
6	6. Costs of suit incurred herein; and	
7	7. Such other and further relief as to the Court may seem just and proper.	
8	FOR THE SIXTH CAUSE OF ACTION:	
9	1. General damages in a sum to be proven at trial;	
10	2. Special damages including loss of income and benefits and medical expenses;	
11	3. Interest, including pre-judgment interest, thereon at the legal rate, including but	
12	not limited to Civil Code §3291;	
13	4. Punitive damages in a sum to be proven at trial;	
14	5. Costs of suit incurred herein; and	
15	6. Such other and further relief as to the Court may seem just and proper.	
16	FOR THE SEVENTH CAUSE OF ACTION:	
17	1. General damages in a sum to be proven at trial;	
18	2. Special damages including loss of income and benefits and medical expenses;	
19	3. Interest, including pre-judgment interest, thereon at the legal rate, including but	
20	not limited to Civil Code §3291;	
21	4. Costs of suit incurred herein; and	
22	5. Such other and further relief as to the Court may seem just and proper.	
23	Dated: 9/25/14 ADISHIAN LAW GROUP, P.C.	
24		
25	17 John-	
26	By: Christopher M. Adishian	
27	Attorneys for Plaintiff	
28	Page 14	

EXHIBIT 1

EXHIBIT 1



STATE OF CALIFORNIA | Business, Consumer Services and Housing Agency

DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING 2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 I Elk Grove I CA I 95758 800-884-1684 I TTY 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov GOVERNOR EDMUND G. BROWN JR.

AMENDED

Aug 04, 2014

RE: Notice of Filing of Discrimination Complaint

DFEH Matter Number: 334359-119051-R Right to Sue: Monk / Andrew Habenicht Teachers Insurance And Annuity Association Of America (TIAA-CREF)

To All Respondent(s):

Enclosed is a copy of a complaint of discrimination that has been filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) in accordance with Government Code section 12960. This constitutes service of the complaint pursuant to Government Code section 12962. The complainant has requested an authorization to file a lawsuit. This case is not being investigated by DFEH and is being closed immediately. A copy of the Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue is enclosed for your records.

Please refer to the attached complaint for a list of all respondent(s) and their contact information.

No response to DFEH is requested or required.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing

1	COMPLAINT OF EMPLOYMENT DISCRIMINATION	
2	BEFORE THE STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
3	DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT AND HOUSING Under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (Gov. Code, § 12900 et seq.)	
4		
5		
6	In the Matter of the Complaint of DFEH No. 334359-119051-R	
7	Curtis Monk, Complainant.	
8	vs.	
9	Andrew Habenicht Teachers Insurance And	
10	Annuity Association Of America (TIAA-CREF)	
11	Respondent. 8500 Andrew Carnegie Boulevard, Mail Stop SSC-	
12	C2-07 Charlotte, New York 28262	
13		
14		
15	Complainant alleges:	
16	 Respondent Teachers Insurance And Annuity Association Of America (TIAA-CREF) is a Private Employer subject to suit under the California Fair Employment and Housing Act (FEHA) (Gov. Code, § 12900 et seq.). Complainant believes respondent is subject to the FEHA. On or around Sep 06, 2013, complainant alleges that respondent took the following adverse actions against complainant: Discrimination, Harassment, Retaliation Asked impermissible non-job-related questions, Demoted, Denied a work environment free of discrimination and/or retaliation, Denied equal pay, Denied promotion, Forced to quit, Laid-off, Terminated, Other, as revealed during discovery Complainant believes respondent committed these actions because of their: Age - 40 and over, Ancestry, Association with a member of a protected class, Color, Disability, Engagement in Protected Activity, Family Care or Medical Leave, Genetic Information or Characteristics, Marital Status, Medical Condition - including 	
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22	Cancer, National Origin - including language use restrictions, Race, Religion, Sex- Gender, Sex - Gender identity or Gender expression, Sex - Pregnancy, Sexual Orientation, Other as revealed during discovery.	
	3. Complainant Curtis Monk resides in the City of San Francisco , State of California . If complaint includes co-respondents please see below.	
DFEH 902-1	-1-	
	<i>Complaint – DFEH No. 334359-119051-R</i> Date Filed: Aug 04, 2014	
	Date Amended: Aug 04, 2014	

1	
2	Co-Respondents: Teachers Insurance And Annuity Association Of America (TIAA-
3	CREF) Kevin O`Leary
4	One Beacon Street, 8th Floor Boston Massachusetts 02108
5	TIAA-CREF Trust Company, FSB
6	Andrew Habenicht 8500 Andrew Carnegie Boulevard, Mail Stop SSC-C2-07 Charlotte New York 28262
7	Teachers Insurance And Annuity Association Of America (TIAA-
8	CREF) Kathie Andrade
9	730 Third Avenue, 26th Floor New York New York 10017
10	Teachers Insurance And Annuity Association Of America (TIAA- CREF)
11	Lance Hallam 601 13th Street NW, Suite 700 N
12	Washington Maryland 20005
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
20 21	
22	
DFEH 902-1	-2- Complaint – DFEH No. 334359-119051-R
	Date Filed: Aug 04, 2014
	Date Amended: Aug 04, 2014

Additional Complaint Details:

I was a 61 year old African American male with a successful 21 year career with Defendants. Upon new management being installed I was harassed, discriminated against, denied equal pay and ultimately terminated on account of my membership in several protected classes. Defendants replaced me with a younger, white individual.

DFEH	902-1

Complaint – DFEH No. 334359-119051-R Date Filed: Aug 04, 2014

Date Amended: Aug 04, 2014

VERIFICATION

I, Curtis Monk Jr. (aka / Curtis Monk), am the Complainant in the above-entitled complaint. I have read the foregoing complaint and know the contents thereof. The same is true of my own knowledge, except as to those matters which are therein alleged on information and belief, and as to those matters, I believe it to be true.

On Aug 04, 2014, I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the foregoing

4	is true and correct.	
5		151 Alice B. Toklas Place Unit 803, San Francisco CA 94109
6		Curtis Monk Jr. (aka / Curtis Monk)
7		
8		
9		
10		
11		
12		
13		
14		
15		
16		
17		
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
1		-4-
	Date Filed: Aug 04, 2014	Complaint – DFEH No. 334359-119051-R
	Date Amended: Aug 04, 2014	

DFEH 902-1





DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING 2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 | Elk Grove | CA | 95758 800-884-1684 | TTY 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov

AMENDED

Aug 04, 2014

Curtis Monk 151 Alice B. Toklas Place, Unit 803 San Francisco California 94109

RE: Notice of Case Closure and Right to Sue

DFEH Matter Number: 334359-119051-R Right to Sue: Monk / Andrew Habenicht Teachers Insurance And Annuity Association Of America (TIAA-CREF)

Dear Curtis Monk,

This letter informs you that the above-referenced complaint was filed with the Department of Fair Employment and Housing (DFEH) has been closed effective Aug 04, 2014 because an immediate Right to Sue notice was requested. DFEH will take no further action on the complaint.

This letter is also your Right to Sue notice. According to Government Code section 12965, subdivision (b), a civil action may be brought under the provisions of the Fair employment and Housing Act against the person, employer, labor organization or employment agency named in the above-referenced complaint. The civil action must be filed within one year from the date of this letter.

To obtain a federal Right to Sue notice, you must visit the U.S. Equal Employment Opportunity Commission (EEOC) to file a complaint within 30 days of receipt of this DFEH Notice of Case Closure or within 300 days of the alleged discriminatory act, whichever is earlier.

Sincerely,

Department of Fair Employment and Housing





DEPARTMENT OF FAIR EMPLOYMENT & HOUSING 2218 Kausen Drive, Suite 100 I Elk Grove I CA I 95758 800-884-1684 I TTY 800-700-2320 www.dfeh.ca.gov

AMENDED

Enclosures

- cc: Teachers Insurance And Annuity Association Of America (TIAA-CREF) Kevin O`Leary
- TIAA-CREF Trust Company, FSB Andrew Habenicht
- Teachers Insurance And Annuity Association Of America (TIAA-CREF) Kathie Andrade
- Teachers Insurance And Annuity Association Of America (TIAA-CREF) Lance Hallam